Magdalena Weber, Salzburg

Building Mental Bridges

Coping with Cognitive Dissonance

Definition of Cognitive Dissonance

Cognitive dissonance, a foundational concept in social psychology, was first introduced by Leon Festinger in 1957. It refers to the psychological discomfort experienced when a person holds two or more conflicting beliefs, attitudes, or behaviors (Festinger, 1957). This inner tension can disrupt one's sense of coherence and might spark a motivational drive to reduce the experienced inconsistency (Festinger, 1962). Individuals typically seek consonance—a state of harmony—and therefore reduce dissonance by, for example, adjusting their beliefs and attitudes, rationalizing their behavior, trivializing the conflict, or seeking out information that supports their current views (Aronson, 1969; Harmon-Jones & Mills, 1999).

To better illustrate this internal process, one might conceptualize the mind as building "mental bridges"—a metaphor introduced here to describe the symbolic and cognitive strategies individuals use to connect conflicting thoughts. These "bridges" represent the internal work of meaning-making: the subjective reasoning, reinterpretation, or reframing that allows someone to preserve a coherent self-image despite of contradiction. For instance, an individual who values honesty but tells a lie may justify it as a necessary act of kindness, thus "bridging" the gap between behavior and belief.

These "mental bridges" are not always constructed consciously or logically. They often rely on cognitive biases, such as selective memory, to maintain a coherent sense of self (Gawronski & Strack, 2012). Ultimately, the theory of cognitive dissonance—including the mental tools we use to navigate it—provides insight into how people preserve stability in their worldview and emotional well-being.

How We Bridge Contradictions

The Nature of Dissonance

Beyond its cognitive roots, dissonance also manifests as an affective and physiological response. Research has shown that dissonant states are often accompanied by heightened autonomic arousal, such as an increased heart rate (Croyle & Cooper, 1983; Harmon-Jones et al., 2008). These physiological markers support the idea that dissonance functions like a stressor, triggering emotional discomfort that motivates some kind of resolution.

On an emotional level, dissonance can evoke feelings of guilt, shame, anxiety, or regret (Elliot & Devine, 1994). For example, when individuals behave in a way that contradicts their moral values, the resulting dissonance can challenge their moral identity, leading to emotional strain.

Typical Coping Mechanisms

When individuals experience cognitive dissonance, they are motivated to reduce the psychological discomfort it creates. According to Festinger (1957), this need can be satisfied by applying cognitive strategies aimed at restoring internal consistency. These mechanisms are not just theoretical but can also be seen in everyday life:

One common approach is changing one's attitudes to match the behavior. For example, someone who eats meat but cares about animals might reduce dissonance by adjusting their

beliefs, so for instance, by taking the stance that eating ethically sourced meat is acceptable. This strategy shifts internal values to align with external actions.

Another widely used method is adding consonant cognitions—introducing new beliefs that justify or support the behavior. A student who procrastinates may reassure themselves by thinking, "I work best under pressure", even if their actions do not align with this belief. Such cognitions help them feel better about their behavior, easing the tension without actually having to change the behavior.

Avoiding dissonant information through selective exposure is also a key mechanism. People, for example, tend to seek out media and conversations that reinforce their existing beliefs while ignoring or dismissing opposing ideas that would challenge their views (Hart et al., 2009). For instance, someone skeptical about climate change may only follow sources that downplay environmental threats, thereby shielding themselves from conflicting information.

The mentioned coping strategies tend to be subtle and often unconscious. As Steele (1988) noted, reducing dissonance is not always about accuracy or truth, but more about maintaining a coherent and competent sense of self.

A Classic Example:
Smoking Despite Knowing the Risks

One of the most frequently studied real-life examples of cognitive dissonance involves smoking. A smoker who is fully aware of the well-documented health risks—including cancer, heart disease, and shortened life expectancy—yet continues to smoke, experiences a psychological conflict between knowledge and actions (Festinger, 1957). This contradiction leads to cognitive dissonance motivating the smoker to reduce discomfort through coping strategies.

Trivialization is a common response: the smoker may downplay the severity or likelihood of harm with thoughts like, "Not everyone who smokes gets sick," or "My grandfather smoked and lived

to 90." Such reasoning reduces the perceived inconsistency without requiring behavioral change (McMaster & Lee, 1991).

Denial is another powerful mechanism. Smokers may question the scientific evidence, suggesting that studies are exaggerated, biased, or influenced by political or business interests. This allows them to maintain their behavior while preserving their belief in being health-conscious.

Self-justification is perhaps the most nuanced strategy. A smoker may argue that the habit of smoking has advantages—such as stress relief, cognitive focus, or social bonding—thereby reframing the behavior as necessary or important (Gibbons et al., 1997). In such cases, dissonance is reduced by modifying the perceived meaning of the behavior itself.

Cognitive Adjustment as
a Process of Mental Bridge-Building

Unconscious and Conscious
Dissonance Reduction

Dissonance reduction is not always an active or intentional process. Unconscious mechanisms often initiate changes that alleviate cognitive conflict without deliberate consideration. Elliot and Devine (1994) conceptualize dissonance as a "negative intrapersonal state" that functions similarly to a stress signal, automatically leading to cognitive shifts aimed at restoring consonance.

For instance, when new information challenges existing beliefs, individuals may unconsciously filter out or reframe deviations. This early-stage processing results in incipient "mental bridges"—automatic reframings that resolve conflict before it reaches conscious awareness.

In contrast, conscious strategies involve recognizing the dissonance and intentional efforts to resolve it. This form of reflective "bridge-building" facilitates deeper cognitive restructuring and fosters learning and integration. Conscious dissonance reduction is typically more effortful, but it supports long-term cognitive changes (Harmon-Jones & Mills, 2019).

Cognitive Restructuring

A structured and conscious "bridge-building" technique is cognitive restructuring, a core component of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) developed by Beck (1979). Rather than going along with unhelpful thoughts, people in CBT learn to recognize and question patterns like catastrophizing, all-or-nothing thinking, or over-generalization.

A central technique for this is cognitive reappraisal, by which individuals reframe negative or dissonant experiences in a more adaptive way (Beck, 1979). For example, by interpreting failure not as evidence of incompetence but as a learning opportunity: So, saying to oneself, "I didn't succeed this time, but I now know what to improve next time", reduces emotional discomfort and restores consistency between self-concept and experience.

Studies suggest that cognitive restructuring enhances emotional regulation, self-esteem, and psychological well-being, highlighting the efficacy of conscious "bridge-building" in resolving dissonance (Beck, 2011; Hofmann et al., 2012).

Moral Dilemmas in Everyday Life

The "mental bridge" metaphor becomes especially clear when considering everyday, real-world scenarios. In morally ambiguous situations—such as witnessing unethical behavior at work but choosing to remain silent—individuals may experience cognitive dissonance between their personal values and ethics and their inaction. To bridge this gap, people may adopt justificatory cognitions, such as telling themselves, "I didn't want to create conflict" or "It's not my responsibility." Such explanations help preserve a coherent self-image as a moral person while justifying behavior that might otherwise conflict with that image (Tsang, 2002).

Social Conformity

Dissonance also arises when one's beliefs diverge from group norms, especially in highly homogeneous groups. To reduce this inconsistency, individuals may unconsciously adjust their views to align with others. This effect has been demonstrated in conformity research, such as the Asch (1955) experiments, and can be seen as a form of "bridge-building" between internal beliefs and social expectations, maintaining social harmony and consonance.

The Meat Paradox

A particularly vivid illustration of cognitive dissonance is the meat paradox—the psychological discomfort experienced when one cares about animals but still eats meat (Loughnan et al., 2010) To resolve this conflict, individuals may downplay animals' mental capacity (Bastian et al., 2012), deny their ability to suffer (Loughnan et al., 2010), or reframe meat consumption as natural, necessary, normal, or nice (Piazza et al., 2015). For instance, people are less likely to feel empathy towards the animal if meat is labeled as "beef" rather than "cow" (Kunst & Hohle, 2016). These rationalizations act as cognitive "bridges" that preserve meat-eating habits while enabling them to stay consistent with their self-image as caring and empathetic individuals.

Interestingly, behavior change can sometimes precede and induce attitude change. In a longitudinal study of Veganuary participants, Becker et al. (2023) found that individuals reported increased disgust toward meat products already after one month of abstaining from meat. In such cases, the "bridge" is built not through justification, but through changing the beliefs that align with new behaviors—indicating that flexible "bridge-building" can support personal transformation rather than mere rationalization.

Confirmation Bias and Selective Exposure

A major cognitive mechanism supporting dissonance reduction is confirmation bias, the tendency to seek, interpret, and recall information

in a way that supports preexisting beliefs. Festinger (1957) already noted this tendency in the form of selective exposure, and decades of research have confirmed its dissonance-reducing function.

Forms of confirmation bias include:

Selective search: preferring sources that confirm existing opinions (e.g., partisan news).

Selective interpretation: construing ambiguous facts to support one's stance.

Selective memory: recalling congruent information more easily than incongruent information (Eagly et al., 1999; Nickerson, 1998).

When Bridges Become Unstable: Dissonance and Mental Health

Long-Term Dissonance and Psychological Stress

Prolonged cognitive dissonance functions as an ongoing stressor that activates physiological and emotional stress responses. Harmon Jones et al. (2009) and subsequent research indicate that unresolved dissonance can heighten negative affect—such as tension, guilt, and agitation—and may even lead to anxiety and depressive symptoms (Harmon Jones et al., 2009; Harmon Jones, 2019).

While short-lived dissonance often is resolved through cognitive adjustments, ongoing dissonance lacks closure. Harmon Jones (2019) suggests that chronic inconsistency may function like low-level cortisol release, subtly undermining mental resilience over time.

Workplace Example: Staying in an Unfulfilling Job

A common workplace context for chronic dissonance is remaining in an unfulfilling job. Employees might continue in roles that contradict their personal values—such as a desire for creative autonomy that conflicts with overly structured tasks,

leading to feelings of cognitive dissonance. To manage this discomfort, employees might construct justifications: for example, financial security ("The salary is too good to leave") or fear of uncertainty ("There are no better options available"). These rationalizations function as "mental bridges", allowing individuals to keep up their feelings of congruence—but such "bridges" may be fragile and unsustainable in the long term.

Over time, the misalignment between one's own values and one's behavior can lead to disengagement, emotional exhaustion, and burnout (Maslach & Jackson, 1981). In fact, recent findings by Karanika-Murray et al. (2017) show that a mismatch between job demands and the psychological climate, particularly when autonomy or competence is lacking, can amplify dissonant attitudes and reduce job satisfaction. Their research demonstrates how a supportive work environment can buffer against or intensify dissonance, depending on whether it supports or suppresses motivational needs.

Additionally, Grawe's (2004) consistency theory suggests that motivational incongruence—where personal values and experienced reality differ—undermines mental balance and increases psychological vulnerability. Persistent dissonance can manifest itself as cynicism, psychological withdrawal, and diminished self-efficacy, especially when cognitive "bridges" remain surface-level. This was shown in a study by Zhang et al. (2018), who found that role stressors at work led to reduced helping behavior and commitment, mediated by feelings of dissonance.

Moreover, Brotheridge and Lee (2002) highlighted the effects of emotional dissonance, the mismatch between internal feelings and expected emotional responses. Employees in service professions that require a high degree of surface acting reported higher levels of job dissatisfaction and emotional exhaustion. This underscores the link between dissonance and burnout, further confirming that fragile cognitive "bridges" tend to diminish well-being over time.

When to Seek Professional Support

Persistent or unstable cognitive "bridges" can pose serious risks to mental health. To recognize when an internal conflict shifts from adaptive to maladaptive is crucial. Psychological tension becomes problematic when individuals exhibit the following symptoms:

- Chronic internal conflict and restlessness, signaling inability to reconcile values and actions.
- Emotional symptoms: anxiety, persistent sadness, irritability, or burnout, particularly when linked to ongoing job stress (Harmon-Jones, 2019).
- Behavioral disconnection: feeling disconnected from values.
- Avoidance or rationalization: relying on excuses to justify dissonant behavior.

When such patterns impair performance, affect private life, or reduce well-being, attention is needed.

The Role of Psychotherapy

Psychotherapy offers structured avenues for developing stable, authentic cognitive "bridges": CBT emphasizes active cognitive restructuring, enabling individuals to identify distorted beliefs and replace them by adaptive alternatives (Beck, 1979). In work-focused variants, this includes:

- Challenging beliefs like "I must tolerate every stressor to succeed."
- Re-conceptualizing dissonance as a signal for necessary change.
- Creating actionable plans such as value-aligned goal setting or career movement.

Meta-analyses and trials demonstrate therapy's effectiveness. For example, a study by Gjengedal et al. (2020) showed the positive effects of work-focused CBT on significantly increasing return-to-work rates, reducing depressive and anxiety symptoms, and heightening self-efficacy beliefs.

Conclusion

Cognitive dissonance, while often uncomfortable, can also be a powerful driver of growth and self-reflection. As stated in this article, people build "mental bridges" to navigate the tensions between conflicting beliefs, values, and behaviors. These "bridges"—whether they take the form of unconscious rationalizations or intentional reframings— serve to restore coherence in the face of internal contradiction. Yet not all "bridges" are built to last. If they rest on avoidant strategies, they may collapse under pressure, leading to psychological strain or emotional disengagement. In such cases, recognizing the instability of one's inner "architecture" becomes essential. Professional interventions offer tools to rebuild these "bridges" with greater stability. Crucially, not all coherence is achieved in thought alone. Sometimes, sustainable integration requires behavioral change, not just reinterpreting one's experience but acting differently within it.

References

Aronson, E. (1969). The theory of cognitive dissonance: A current perspective. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 4*, 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60075-1

Aronson, E. (1999). *The Social Animal* (9th ed.). Worth Publishers.

Asch, S. E. (1955). Opinions and social pressure. *Scientific American, 193*(5), 31–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1155-31

Bastian, B., Loughnan, S., Haslam, N., & Radke, H. R. M. (2012). Don't mind meat? The denial of mind to animals used for human consumption. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 38*(2), 247–256. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211424291

Beck, A. T. (1979). *Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders*. Penguin Books.

Beck, A. T. (2011). *Cognitive therapy: Basics and beyond* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.

Becker, E., Kozmér, S., Aulbach, M. B., & Lawrence, N. S. (2022). The relationship between meat disgust and meat avoidance—A chicken-and-egg problem. *Frontiers in Nutrition, 9*, Article 958248. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.958248

Brehm, J. W. (1956). Postdecision changes in the desirability of alternatives. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 52*(3), 384–389. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0041006

Brotheridge, C. M., & Lee, R. T. (2002). Testing a conservation of resources model of the dynamics of emotional labor. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 7*(1), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.7.1.57

Cooper, J. (2007). *Cognitive dissonance: Fifty years of a classic theory*. SAGE Publications.

Croyle, R. T., & Cooper, J. (1983). Dissonance arousal: Physiological evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 45*(4), 782–791. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.45.4.782

Eagly, A. H., Chen, S., Chaiken, S., & Shaw-Barnes, K. (1999). The impact of attitudes on memory: An affair to remember. *Psychological Bulletin, 125*(1), 64–89. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.1.64

Elliot, A. J., & Devine, P. G. (1994). On the motivational nature of cognitive dissonance: Dissonance as psychological discomfort. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67*(3), 382–394. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.67.3.382

Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.

Festinger, L. (1962). Cognitive dissonance. *Scientific American, 207*(4), 93–102. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1062-93

Festinger, L., & Carlsmith, J. M. (1959). Cognitive consequences of forced compliance. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 58*, 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0041593

Gawronski, B., & Strack, F. (Eds.). (2012). *Cognitive consistency: A fundamental principle in social cognition*. Guilford Press.

Gibbons, F. X., Eggleston, T. J., & Benthin, A. C. (1997). Cognitive reactions to smoking relapse: The reciprocal relation between dissonance and self-esteem. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 72*(1), 184–195. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.72.1.184

Gjengedal, R. G., Hetlevik, Ø., Moltu, C., & Frich, J. C. (2020). Work-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for common mental disorders in individuals on sick leave: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 46*(2), 117–126. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3858

Grawe, K. (2004). *Neuropsychotherapy: How the neurosciences inform effective psychotherapy*. Hogrefe Publishing.

Harmon-Jones, E. (2019). *Cognitive dissonance: Reexamining a pivotal theory in psychology* (2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.

Harmon-Jones, E., & Harmon-Jones, C. (2007). Cognitive dissonance theory after 50 years of development. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie, 38*(1), 7–16. https://doi.org/10.1024/0044-3514.38.1.7

Harmon-Jones, E., Amodio, D. M., & Zinner, L. R. (2008). Social psychological methods of emotion elicitation. In J. A. Coan & J. J. B. Allen (Eds.), *Handbook of emotion elicitation and assessment* (pp. 91–105). Oxford University Press.

Harmon Jones, E., & Mills, J. (Eds.). (1999). Cognitive dissonance: Progress on a pivotal theory in social psychology. American Psychological Association.

Hart, W., Albarracín, D., Eagly, A. H., Brechan, I., Lindberg, M. J., & Merrill, L. (2009). Feeling validated versus being correct: A meta-analysis of selective exposure to information. *Psychological Bulletin, 135*(4), 555–588. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015701

Hofmann, S. G., Asnaani, A., Vonk, I. J., Sawyer, A. T., & Fang, A. (2012). The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 36*(5),

427–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-012-9476-1

Karanika Murray, M., Michaelides, G., & Wood, S. J. (2017). Job demands, job control, psychological climate, and job satisfaction: A cognitive dissonance perspective. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, *4*(3), 238–255. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-02-2017-0012

Krosnick, J. A. (1990). Government policy and citizen passion: A study of issue publics in contemporary America. *Political Behavior, 12*(1), 59–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00992332

Kunst, J. R., & Hohle, S. M. (2016). Meat eaters by necessity? Moral disengagement, eating habits, and the meat paradox. *Appetite, 105*, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.07.009

Loughnan, S., Haslam, N., & Bastian, B. (2010). The role of meat consumption in the denial of moral status and mind to meat animals. *Appetite, 55*(1), 156–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.05.043

Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. *Journal of Occupational Behavior, 2*(2), 99–113. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030020205

McMaster, C., & Lee, C. (1991). Cognitive dissonance in tobacco smokers. *Addictive Behaviors, 16*(5), 349–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603(91)90028-G

Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology, 2*(2), 175–220. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.2.175

Piazza, J., Ruby, M. B., Loughnan, S., Luong, M., Kulik, J., Watkins, H. M., & Seigworth, A. R. (2015). Rationalizing meat consumption: The 4Ns. *Appetite, 91*, 114–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.04.011

Steele, C. M. (1988). The psychology of self-affirmation: Sustaining the integrity of the self. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 21, pp. 261–302). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60229-4

Tsang, J.-A. (2002). Moral rationalization and the integration of situational factors and psychological processes in immoral behavior. *Review of General Psychology, 6*(1), 25–50. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.6.1.25

Zhang, L., Xia, Y., Liu, B., & Han, L. (2018). Why don't I help you? The relationship between role stressors and helping behavior from a cognitive dissonance perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*, Article 2220. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02220

MAGDALENA WEBER absolvierte ihr Bachelor- und Masterstudium der Psychologie an der Universität Wien und promoviert seit 2023 an der Privatuniversität Schloss Seeburg. Ihr Forschungsinteresse gilt der Organisationspsychologie, insbesondere neuen Arbeitsformen und deren Auswirkungen auf arbeitsbezogene Einstellungen. Neben ihrer wissenschaftlichen Arbeit engagiert sie sich ehrenamtlich in verschiedenen Bereichen: Sie ist Mitglied bei Psychologists and Psychotherapists for Future, seit mehreren Jahren im Studierendenbereich des BÖP aktiv und seit kurzem auch bei der European Federation of Psychology Students' Associations. Ein besonderes Anliegen ist ihr der interdisziplinäre Austausch – unter anderem war sie an einem Erasmus+-Projekt zum Thema Coworking im universitären Kontext beteiligt, das im Februar 2025 erfolgreich abgeschlossen wurde. Seit 2024 ist sie Stipendiatin von PRO SCIENTIA.